



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/854,838 05/14/2001 Daniel Brown 00522CIP/LH 8339 1933 02/25/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC CORRIELUS, JEAN M **767 THIRD AVENUE ART UNIT** 25TH FLOOR PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023 2162

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/854,838	BROWN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean M Corrielus	2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 May 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-83</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-83 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	, •	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign period a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e tent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the application filed on May 14, 2001, in which claims 1-83 are presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

The following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-57, drawn to a system for generating classification data for text, classified in class 707, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 58-83, drawn to a fuzzy logic retrieval system, classified in class 707, subclass 5.

Inventions I and II are related as combination disclosed as usable together in a single Combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (M.P.E.P., 806.05(c)). The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

In the instant case, <u>invention I</u> has separate utility such as for an automatic text classification system; and <u>invention II</u> is useable for directing to a techniques including fuzzy search, ranking or weighing, relevance, thesaurus, and concept retrieval. See M.P.E.P.

Art Unit: 2162

806.05(d). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification. The search required for Group I is not required for Group II. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Holtz Leonard (Reg. No. 22,974) on February 23, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but there was no communication and did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant also is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

CONCLUSION

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean M Corrielus whose telephone number is (571) 272-4032. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 hours shift.

Art Unit: 2162

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean M Corrielus
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2162

February 24, 2005